





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,452	04/04/2001	Raleigh J. Jensen	ACE106.02	8028
7.	590 04/09/2002			
Joseph W. Holland			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1840			DEXTER, CLARK F	
Boise, ID 83701-1840			DEMILITY	obinati
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/826,452

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jensen ____

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 7, 20</u>	02	
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
		is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🔯	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	
9) 🗆 10) 🗆	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/ar The proposed drawing correction filed on Jan 7 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: aX approved b) disapproved.	
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure be the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been received. ve been received in Application No locuments have been received in this National Stage lau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachm			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
17) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Uther:	

Application/Control Number: 09/826,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed January 7, 2002 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on January 7, 2002 have been **approved**.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 8, in view of the amendments, it seems that the comma "," after "first set of returns" should be deleted for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,452

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 10, "the tensionable cutting member" lacks positive antecedent basis. In claim 8, line 11, "the tensionable cutting member" lacks positive antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popeil, pn 3.112.781 (hereafter Popeil '781), in view of Boos, pn 690,512.

Popeil '781 discloses cutting assembly with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a serpentine blade (e.g., 50), and first and second opposing sets of returns (e.g., 48), but lacks the first and second set of returns being adjustable relative to one another, and further lacks a cutting member tensioning device, specifically a tensioning device which comprises a screw. Boos discloses a cutting assembly with a blade securing structure wherein the blade includes a bend which is removably engaged with a first return (e.g., 10) and a

Application/Control Number: 09/826,452 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

second return (e.g., 12) that adjustably oppose one another, and a tensioning device in the form of a screw (e.g., 13). It is old and well known in the art to provide such securing structure for various known reasons including to secure a blade into a mounted/assembled position and to make assembly of the blade onto the device easier by enabling the blade to be positioned, and then tightened into position for use thereof. Evidence of such assembly/disassembly operation is explicitly taught by Boos (see page 2, lines 10-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the movable return and the screw of Boos for each of the returns on one side or both sides of the cutter assembly of Popeil '781) for the reasons known in the art and as taught by Boos including those described above.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3724

cfd

April 8, 2002